

Dubbo LEP 2011 - Ar Permissible Uses – S	nendment of Section 5.4(9)(b Secondary Dwellings	) Controls Relating to M	liscellaneou	S
Proposal Title :	Dubbo LEP 2011 - Amendment of Section 5.4(9)(b) Controls Relating to Miscellaneous Permissible Uses – Secondary Dwellings			
Proposal Summary :	Amendment of Section 5.4(9)(b) Secondary Dwellings which inte the total floor area of the princip	ends to change the permissit		
PP Number :	PP_2016_DUBBO_001_00	Dop File No :	16/01349	
Proposal Details				
Date Planning Proposal Received :	05-Jan-2016	LGA covered :	Dubbo	
Region :	Western	RPA	Dubbo City C	Council
State Electorate :	DUBBO	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning	Proposal
LEP Type :	Policy			
Location Details				
Street : Dul	bbo			
Suburb : Dul	bbo City :	Dubbo	Postcode :	Dubbo
Land Parcel :				
DoP Planning Offic	cer Contact Details			
Contact Name :	Tim Collins			
Contact Number :	0268412180	0268412180		
Contact Email :	timothy.collins@planning.nsw.gov.au			
RPA Contact Detai	ils			
Contact Name :	Steven Jennings			
Contact Number :	0268014000			
Contact Email :	steven.jennings@dubbo.nsw.gov	.au		
DoP Project Manag	ger Contact Details			
Contact Name :	Wayne Garnsey			
Contact Number :	0268412180			
Contact Email :	wayne.garnsey@planning.nsw.go	ov.au		
Land Release Data	a			
Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :		
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :		Consistent with Strategy :		

# Dubbo LEP 2011 - Amendment of Section 5.4(9)(b) Controls Relating to Miscellaneous Permissible Uses – Secondary Dwellings

MDP Number :		Date of Release :
Area of Release (Ha)		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :
No. of Lots	0	No. of Dwellings 0 (where relevant) :
Gross Floor Area	0	No of Jobs Created : 0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes	
If No, comment :		
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο	
If Yes, comment :		
Supporting notes		
Internal Supporting Notes : External Supporting Notes :	proposed to increase from 40% to maximum floor area is to allow for meet the current and changing de The Dubbo City Council Planning meeting recommended a 50% max review of a number of Council LEI Council meeting and the revised r carried. The maximum floor area b with Dubbo City Council and it is demand for the change from 40% identified and therefore the Depar amendment. The proposed increa proposal does not permit addition increase the size of them to provid opportunities. Council has requested that author routine local policy matter that ca	allowable floor area for secondary dwellings is 65% of the principal dwellings floor area. The increase in r a greater diversity in residential accommodation and to mographic profile of Dubbo. and Development Committee at its 21 September 2015 kimum floor area for secondary dwellings based on a P's. This recommendation was amended at the whole of notion, proposing a 65% maximum floor area, was being proposed has been discussed internally as well as agreed that while there may not be evidence to support to 65%, there has been no significant potential issues tment of Planning & Environment can support the se is not inconsistent with government policy. The hal opportunities for secondary dwellings but does de greater diversity and flexibility for residential housing risation to exercise delegation not be provided. This is a n be dealt with under Council delegation. It is I be authorised to exercise delegation to make the plan.
dequacy Assessmen Statement of the obj		
Is a statement of the ob		
Comment :	the Dubbo Local Environmenta secondary dwellings to 65% of The planning proposal seeks to	o increase the maximum floor area to allow for a greater tions, and to better meet the housing styles and sizes of

## Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment

The explanation of provisions clearly state the intent of the planning proposal is to increase the allowable floor area of secondary dwellings to 65% of the principal dwelling on land which secondary dwellings are permissible, being land zones RU1 Primary Production, RU2 Rural Landscape, RU5 Village, R1 General Residential, R2 Low Density Residential, R5 Large Lot Residential and B4 Mixed Use.

#### Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? Yes

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

\* May need the Director General's agreement

1.1 Business and Industrial Zones 1.2 Rural Zones

1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries

1.5 Rural Lands

2.1 Environment Protection Zones

- 2.3 Heritage Conservation
- 3.1 Residential Zones
- 3.3 Home Occupations
- 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport
- 4.3 Flood Prone Land
- 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection

Is the Director General's agreement required? No

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008 Orana REP No. 1 - Siding Spring SEPP (Affordable Rental Housing) 2009

e) List any other matters that need to be considered : 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones: The Ministerial Direction is relevant to the planning proposal as the proposal applies to zone B4 Mixed Use Zone. The planning proposal is consistent with the Direction in that it will not reduce the area of any commercial or Industrial zone.

1.2 Rural Zones: The Ministerial Direction is relevant to the planning proposal as the proposal affects land within existing rural zones. The Direction requires that planning proposals must not rezone land from a rural zone to a residential zone or contain provisions that will increase the permissible density of land within a rural zone. The planning proposal is consistent with the Direction as it does not propose to amend the land use zone. While an increase in the permissible floor area of a land use permitted with consent is proposed, it is not intended to allow for an increased density of residential development on rural zoned land.

1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and extractive Industries: The Ministerial Direction is relevant to the planning proposals which restrict or be incompatible with mining. The planning proposal is inconsistent with this direction. However, the provisions relate only to existing permitted land uses. Therefore the delegate of the Secretary can be satisfied that the inconsistency is minor in nature.

1.5 Rural Lands: The Ministerial Direction is relevant to the planning proposal as the planning proposal affects land within a rural zone. The Direction requires the planning proposal be consistent with the rural planning principles and rural subdivision principles in the SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008.

The proposal does not permit an increase in the number of dwellings permitted on rural land or the creation of additional allotments. It will not result in the fragmentation of rural land and does not impact on services and infrastructure. The planning proposal is

# Dubbo LEP 2011 - Amendment of Section 5.4(9)(b) Controls Relating to Miscellaneous Permissible Uses – Secondary Dwellings

consistent with this direction.

2.1 Environment Protection: The Ministerial Direction is relevant to the planning proposal as the planning proposal applies to all land within the LGA, including environmental protection zones. The planning proposal is consistent as secondary dwellings are not permissible in any environmental zones.

2.3 Heritage Conservation: The Ministerial Direction is relevant as the planning proposal applies to all land within the LGA. The planning proposal will not alter any provisions that facilitate the conservation of heritage items. Any future development application will require consideration of clause 5.10 Heritage Conservation of the Dubbo LEP 2011.

3.1 Residential Zones: The Ministerial Direction is relevant to the planning proposal as the proposal applies to land zone R1 General Residential, R2 Low Density Residential and R5 Large Lot Residential. The planning proposal is consistent as it will provide additional opportunities for residential development by permitting greater flexibility by allowing a larger floor area for secondary dwellings.

3.4 Integrated Land Use and Transport: The Ministerial Direction is relevant to the planning proposal as it will alter the provisions relating to urban land. The proposal will not locate any additional zones for urban purposes away from existing infrastructure. Therefore the planning proposal is not inconsistent with this Direction.

4.3 Flood Prone Land: The Ministerial Direction is relevant to the planning proposal as it will affect land that is flood prone. Given that secondary dwellings are already permissible land uses, the planning proposal will not permit any significant development on flood prone land beyond what is already permitted.

4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection: The Ministerial Direction is relevant as the planning proposal applies to all land within the LGA. The planning proposal does not seek to reduce any controls that avoid placing inappropriate developments in hazardous areas. A condition has been placed on the Gateway determination requiring Council consult with the Rural Fire Service.

SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008: The SEPP is relevant as the planning proposal affect rural land. The planning proposal is consistent with the rural planning and rural subdivision principles of the SEPP.

SEPP (Affordable Rental Housing) 2009: This SEPP is relevant as the planning proposal is amending controls relating to secondary dwellings. The SEPP contains provisions relating to secondary dwellings and aims to facilitate the effective delivery of affordable rental housing. The planning proposal is consistent with the SEPP and its aims of facilitating the delivery of affordable housing in response to growing community needs.

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes

If No, explain :

#### Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? No

Comment :

The planning proposal seeks to amend clause 5.4(9)(b), which applies to all land, where secondary dwellings are permitted, identified on the Dubbo Local Environmental Plan 2011 Land Application Map.

No mapping amendments are proposed or required.

# Dubbo LEP 2011 - Amendment of Section 5.4(9)(b) Controls Relating to Miscellaneous Permissible Uses – Secondary Dwellings

## Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment : Council's proposed community consultation is acceptable. Council intends to publicly exhibit the planning proposal for a period of 28 days.

#### **Additional Director General's requirements**

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

### Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

#### **Proposal Assessment**

#### Principal LEP:

Due Date :

Comments in relation	The Dubbo Local Environmental Plan was gazetted on 11 November 2011.
to Principal LEP :	

#### **Assessment Criteria**

Need for planning proposal :	The planning proposal is required to change the maximum floor area for secondary dwellings from 40% to 65% of the principal dwelling floor area in clause 5.4(9)(b).
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	The planning proposal is consistent with the Dubbo Urban Areas Development Strategy/Dubbo Rural Areas Development Strategy. This strategy forms the basis of the land use zoning and planning controls in the LEP 2011.
Environmental social economic impacts :	It is considered that the planning proposal will not have any negative environmental, social or economic impacts. There are a number of social and economic benefits including a diversification of housing types and sizes as well as the potential for opportunities for rental incomes.

## **Assessment Process**

Proposal type :	Consistent	Community Consultation Period :	28 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 months	Delegation :	RPA
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d) :			

# Dubbo LEP 2011 - Amendment of Section 5.4(9)(b) Controls Relating to Miscellaneous Permissible Uses – Secondary Dwellings

Permissible Uses – S	econdary Dwellin	gs		
Is Public Hearing by t	he PAC required?	No		
(2)(a) Should the mat	ter proceed ?	Yes		
If no, provide reasons	:			
Resubmission - s56(2	:)(b) : <b>No</b>			
If Yes, reasons :				
Identify any additional	studies, if required.:			
If Other, provide reaso	ons :			
Identify any internal co	onsultations, if required	d :		
No internal consultat	tion required			
Is the provision and fu	Inding of state infrastru	ucture relevant to this	plan? No	
If Yes, reasons :				
Documents Document File Name			DocumentType Name	Is Public
Planning Proposal, Council Report & Request for Initial Gateway Determination - DPE.pdf Cover Letter.pdf		Proposal	No	
		Proposal Covering Letter	No	
Planning Team Reco	mmendation		i - bei a sara	
Preparation of the pla	nning proposal suppor	ted at this stage : Re	ecommended with Conditions	
S.117 directions:	1.2 Rural Zones 1.3 Mining, Petro 1.5 Rural Lands	t Protection Zones nservation	nd Extractive Industries	

Additional Information : The planning proposal to proceed subject to the following conditions:

1.Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 as follows:

(a) the planning proposal must be made publicly available for a minimum of 28 days as described in A Guide to Preparing LEPs (Department of Planning and Infrastructure 2013); and

(b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 5.5.2 of A Guide to Preparing LEPs (Department of Planning & Infrastructure 2013).

2. Consultation is required with the following State Agencies under Section 56 (2)(d) of the EP&A Act and/or to comply with the requirements of relevant section 117 Directions:

Dubbo LEP 2011 - Amendment of Section 5.4(9)(b) Controls Relating to	Miscellaneous
Permissible Uses – Secondary Dwellings	

	(a) Department of Primary Industries - Agriculture, and
	(b) NSW Rural Fire Services.
	(c) Office of Environment and Heritage
	Each public authority is to be provided with a copy of the planning proposal and any relevant supporting material, and given at least 21 days to comment on the proposal.
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
	3. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under section 56(2)(e) of the EP&A Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example, in response to a submission or if reclassifying land).
	4. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be nine (9) months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.
Supporting Reasons :	The planning proposal will provide flexibility in the design, diversification and better reflect the current and future demographic profile of Dubbo. It is recommended that Council be authorised to exercise plan making delegations.
Signature:	The Clan
Printed Name:	Tim Collins Date: 13/1/2016

Endorsed NSamsey 13/1/2016 K/GMWR.